

# California **Water Plan** Highlights

I N T E G R A T E D   W A T E R   M A N A G E M E N T




Update 2009 • Department of Water Resources

## **Pre-Final Draft**

*October 7, 2009*

## Contents

*Highlights* is a summary of California Water Plan Update 2009. Inside these pages, you will find pointers  to where more detailed discussion and information can be found in specific volumes and chapters available online and on the accompanying CD.

### California Water Today: Imperative to Act

Our water crisis has many aspects: growing population, reduced water supplies, increasing flood risks, declining ecosystems, impaired water bodies, aging water / flood system. .... Pages 2 & 3

### California Water Resources: Variable and Extreme

This is a land of geographical extremes and variable water resources. Water systems must withstand the threat of too little water during droughts and too much during floods.

Statewide water balance for eight years, 1998 through 2005. .... Pages 4 & 5

Water balances on a regional scale show the variability in a single year, 2005. .... Pages 6 & 7

### Climate Change in California: Stressing Our Water System

California's resources are already feeling the effects of climate change. What has already happened? What more is expected? What are the impacts of these changes? .... Pages 8 through 11

### California Water Plan Update: Building on a Framework

Update 2009 builds on the framework and resource management strategies outlined in Update 2005 as it continues to promote two major initiatives: integrated regional water management and improved statewide water management systems. .... Pages 12 & 13

### California Vision 2050: The Desired Future for Water

#### Implementation Plan: An Urgent Roadmap

Update 2009 sets a strategic path to manage our water resources in a way that provides reliable and clean water supplies for all beneficial uses today and for generations. (foldout) .... Pages 12A through 12D

### Water Scenarios 2050: A Range of Considerations

A range of possible futures will help us understand the implications of future conditions including climate change on water management. .... Pages 14 through 17

### Resource Management Strategies: A Range of Choices

Strategies to help reduce water demand, improve operational efficiency and transfers, increase water supply, improve water quality, practice resource stewardship, and improve flood management. .... Pages 18 & 19

### Regional Strategies: Multiple Responses and Benefits

Integrated regional water management relies on a diversified portfolio of water strategies. Early coordination with land planning agencies will help water suppliers and land planners anticipate and plan for future growth, and ensure that additional regional growth will not exceed water suppliers' capabilities. Here we showcase some regional programs and projects. .... Pages 20 & 21

**Conclusions and Recommendations** .... Pages 22 & 23

**Navigating the Volumes of Update 2009** .... Page 24

*California Water Plan Update 2009 on CD* .... Inside back cover

# Director's Message

Director Lester A. Snow's message to be added

# California Water Today:


*Although the current drought appears to be comparable to that faced in 1977, conditions in California have changed dramatically:*

- Population nearly 75 percent higher.
- Reduced water supplies from Colorado River, Owens River, State Water Project, and Central Valley Project.
- Court decisions restrict water exported from the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta.

California is facing one of the most significant water crises in its history—one that is hitting hard because it has many aspects and consequences. Reduced water supplies and a growing population are worsening the effects of a multi-year drought. Climate change is reducing our snowpack storage and increasing floods. Court decisions and new regulations have reduced Delta water deliveries by 30 percent. Key fish species continue to decline. In some areas of the state, our ecosystems and quality of underground and surface waters are unhealthy. The current global financial crisis will make it even more difficult to invest in solutions. We must act now to provide integrated, reliable, sustainable, and secure water resources and management systems for our health, economy, and ecosystems.

## Greater Drought Impacts

Today we are feeling the effects of a major drought. Water Year 2009 was the third consecutive dry year for the state. Because of losses caused by this drought, the U.S. Department of Agriculture in September designated all of the counties within the San Joaquin River, Tulare Lake, and Central Coast Hydrologic Regions as either Primary Natural Disaster Areas or Natural Disaster Areas (statewide total was 21 counties and 29 counties, respectively). Consequently, the state will enter the 2009-2010 Water Year with its key supply reservoirs at only 68 percent of average (see figure). Despite a developing El Niño over the Pacific Ocean, we cannot assume that statewide water supply will improve in 2010.



Percent of average storage for the state's key reservoirs at the end of the water year, from 2006 – 2009. (Trinity, Shasta, Oroville, Folsom, Don Pedro, New Melones, and San Luis)

## Increasing Flood Risk

Every region of California faces flood risks. Nearly 2 million people in California live within areas that can expect flooding on average of once in 100 years. This means that, on average, approximately 20,000 people per year can expect to be affected by floods. More people are moving into these floodplains and flood-prone areas every day. Sacramento, California's capital, has one of the lowest levels of flood protection of any major city in the nation. Hurricane Katrina provided a vivid reminder of levee vulnerability and consequences of flooding urban areas. Before Katrina, the New Orleans levees were rated as having a 200-year level of flood protection; Sacramento's levees are rated about one half that amount. The threat of catastrophic flooding, especially in the deep floodplains of the Central Valley and Delta, is a continuing fear.





# Imperative to Act

## Declining Ecosystems

The ecosystems in many areas of the state have declined; many species have been listed as threatened or endangered. Problems with watershed health, lack of suitable habitat, competition with invasive species, toxicity, and water operations contribute to the decline. One of the most obvious examples of an ecosystem on the verge of collapse is in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Salmon, delta smelt, and other species are at their lowest levels since their records have been kept, about 50 years. This decline has led to court restrictions and new regulations on Delta diversions.

## Impaired Water Bodies

The quality of groundwater and surface waters varies significantly throughout the state. We need improvements in drinking water treatment, cleanup of polluted groundwater, salt management, and urban runoff management. A high priority is creating healthy watersheds to keep source water free of pollutants like pathogens, chemicals, and disinfectant byproducts that are regulated or will be regulated in the near future. Recently, some unregulated chemicals and pollutants are emerging as actual or potential contaminants. They can be in pharmaceuticals and personal care products, byproducts of fires and fire suppression, or discarded elements of nano-technology.

## Aging Infrastructure

Conditions today are much different than when most of California's water system was constructed; and upgrades have not kept pace with changing conditions, especially considering the growing population and the future challenges accompanying climate change (see pages 8 through 11). California's flood protection system, composed of aging infrastructure with major design deficiencies, has been further weakened by lack of maintenance. State and regional budget shortfalls and a tightened credit market may delay new projects and programs.

*The entire system—water and flood management, watersheds, and ecosystems—has lost its resilience and is changing in undesirable ways.*



## Future stresses on our water system


- At what rate will California's population grow into the future?
- What will future urban, agricultural, and ecosystem land uses be?
- What are the limits to California's water supplies?
- How much will climate change result in rising sea level, more severe floods and droughts, and stress on the ecosystem?
- When will a major earthquake cause catastrophic failure of Delta levees and disrupt at least a portion of water supply to 25 million people and millions of acres of farmland?
- How will future regulations change how the system is operated for water supply, flood management, water quality, and ecosystem health?
- What other unknown challenges (endangered species listings, new health concerns for water quality, etc.) will surface?




Find discussions of California's water challenges in Volume 1, Chapter 4 California Water Today and regional issues in Volume 3



# California's Water Resources:

## California Water Balance by Year



 Indicates depleted (irrecoverable) water use (water consumed through evapotranspiration, flowing to salt sinks like saline aquifers, or otherwise not available as a source of supply)

<sup>1</sup> Detail of bar graph: For water years 1998-2005, recycled municipal water varied from 0.2 to 0.5 MAF of the water supply.



# Variable and Extreme

California is often recognized as a land of extremes—its diversity in cultures, ecosystems, geography, and water resources. However, “variable” would be a more accurate term to describe its water resources. Precipitation, which is the root of California’s water supplies, varies from place to place, season to season, and year to year. Most of the state’s snow and rain fall in the mountains in the north and eastern parts of the state, and most water is used in the valleys and coastal plains. In addition, the state’s ecosystem, agricultural, and urban water users have variable needs for the quantity, quality, timing, and place of use. The water and flood systems face both the threat of too little water to meet needs during droughts and too much water during floods.

Update 2005 began the process of developing water balances to show water used and sources of water for individual years. With Update 2009, statewide balances are available for eight water years, 1998 through 2005 (shown on the previous page). The eight-year sequence did not include any major floods and does not encompass the possible range of far wetter and far drier years in the record. The figure demonstrates the state’s variability for water use and water supply. “Water use” shows how applied water was used by urban and agricultural sectors and dedicated to the environment; and “water supply” shows where the water came from each year to meet those uses. In addition to what is shown, about 120 million acre-feet of precipitation and inflows in an average year either evaporates, is used by native vegetation, provides rainfall for agriculture and managed wetlands, or flows out of the state or to salt sinks like saline aquifers. (See next page for 2005 regional water balances and information about groundwater overdraft.)

## Key Water Supply and Water Use Definitions

**Applied water.** The total amount of water that is diverted from any source to meet the demands of water users without adjusting for water that is used up, returned to the developed supply or irrecoverable (see water balance figure).

**Instream flow.** The use of water within its natural watercourse as specified in an agreement, water rights permit, court order, FERC license, etc.

**Recycled water.** Municipal water which, as a result of treatment of waste, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is therefore considered a valuable resource.

**Reused water.** The application of previously used water to meet a beneficial use, whether treated or not prior to the subsequent use.

**Urban water use.** The use of water for urban purposes, including residential, commercial, industrial, recreation, energy production, military, and institutional classes. The term is applied in the sense that it is a kind of use rather than a place of use.


**Water balance.** An analysis of the total developed/dedicated supplies, uses, and operational characteristics for a region. It shows what water was applied to actual uses so that use equals supply.



*For a description of California’s variable and sometimes extreme conditions, read Volume 1 Chapter 4 California Water Today*

# California's Water Resources:

## Water Balance by Region for Water Year 2005





# Variable and Extreme

California has a variety of climates and landforms. The amount and variability of precipitation can change dramatically between the northern regions of California and its southeast portions such that statewide average information does not truly depict regional conditions. It is common for the winter precipitation to be wet or above average in the northern portions of the state, while below normal to dry in the south and southeast portions for the same winter.

Agricultural, urban, and environmental water uses in a region all vary according to the wetness or dryness in a given year. In very wet water years with excessive precipitation, outdoor water demands are slightly lower due to the high amount of rainfall that directly meets the needs. During the very dry water years, demands for water are reduced as a result of urban and agriculture water conservation practices and because the available surface water supplies are at less-than-normal levels for use.

To better understand California's regional diversities and plan for future needs, the Department of Water Resources divides the state into 10 hydrologic regions. In addition two regional overlays—the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta region and the Mountain Counties area—combine areas of common interests.

In the California Water Plan, regional water portfolios provide information about annual water use and water supply balances for the 10 hydrologic regions and the Mountain Counties area for years 1998 through 2005. The figure on the facing page depicts balances for the hydrological regions for year 2005, considered a wet year. Water balances can be used to compare how water supplies and uses can vary between wet, average, and dry hydrologic conditions through the regions and how each region's water balance can vary from year to year.

## Groundwater

Each year on average, about 2 million acre-feet more groundwater is used than what naturally recharges – called groundwater overdraft. Overdraft is characterized by groundwater levels that decline over a period of years and never fully recover, even in wet years. Overdraft can lead to increased extraction costs, land subsidence, water quality degradation, and environmental impacts.




*To better understand California's variable and sometimes extreme differences, read Volume 3 Regional Reports.*


## Groundwater Overdraft

The two hydrographs below show the response of groundwater levels to differing water management regimes. The first hydrograph shows groundwater levels declining in response to agricultural development in the San Joaquin Valley. Groundwater levels recover somewhat during the wet period of the early 1980s, but continue to decline through the 1980s and 1990s. The second hydrograph shows a similar groundwater level decline in response to development in southern Yuba County. However, groundwater levels begin to recover in the early 1980s when surface water imports from Yuba County Water Agency began. The hydrograph shows a decline in groundwater levels during the early 1990s drought as surface water imports were curtailed and groundwater was more heavily relied upon. Continued conjunctive water management action resulted in the refilling of the South Yuba Groundwater Subbasin, which continues up to present.

**Kings Basin, Fresno County**



**Brophy Water District, South Yuba County**




# Climate Change:

By and large, California's reservoirs and water delivery systems were designed, and operating rules have been developed, using historical hydrology – an assumption that the past is a good guide to the future. With climate change, that assumption is no longer valid.

## What Has Already Happened?

Looking over the past century, the following changes are evident:


- California's temperature has risen one degree Fahrenheit, mostly at night and during the winter, with higher elevations experiencing the greatest increase.
- Average early spring snowpack in the Sierra Nevada has decreased by about 10 percent, a reduction of 1.5 million acre-feet of water in storage (one acre-foot of water is enough for one to two families for one year). Seasonal snowpack of the Sierra Nevada is California's largest surface water storage.
- Sea level along California's coast has risen 7 inches.
- Flood peaks in the state's rivers have increased.
- Climate patterns are more variable.



### Average Annual Snowmelt

Warming air temperatures may cause some of our precipitation to shift from snow to rain. This would lead to a reduction in the amount of snowpack, an important natural reservoir for storing water in the winter and later augmenting the water supply as spring snowmelt. Climate change-induced shifts in the timing and the amount of snowmelt runoff may require traditional water planning practices to be revised. Figures below show projections of how two climate scenarios may reduce Sierra snowpack to 40% and 20% of recent historical average.

## Decreasing California Snowpack




# Stressing Our Water System

## What More is Expected?

Looking forward to year 2050 and the end of the century, more changes can be expected:


- California's mean temperature may rise 1.5 degrees to 5.0 degrees Fahrenheit by 2050 and 3.5 degrees to 11 degrees by the end of the century.
- Sierra Nevada snowpack may decrease by 25 to 40 percent by mid-century, a storage volume about 3.8 million acre-feet to 6 million acre-feet, from a little less to a little more than the capacity of California's largest constructed surface reservoir.
- Average annual precipitation may show little change, but more intense wet and dry periods can be expected – more floods and more droughts.
- Flood peaks will become higher and natural spring/summer runoff will become lower.
- Studies show a possible sea level rise of 4 to 16 inches by mid-century and 7 to 55 inches by the end of the century, with the potential for higher rises.
- Higher sea levels will increase salinity in the Delta.

**Historical and Projected Sea Level at Golden Gate**



## American River Runoff Annual Maximum 3-Day Flow

The five highest floods of record on the American River have occurred since 1950.



Read more on climate change in Volume 1 Chapter 5 Managing for Uncertainty and Chapter 6 Integrated Data and Analysis. Find technical and support articles in Volume 4 Reference Guide.

# Climate Change:

## What are the Expected Impacts from These Changes?

Climate change is already having a profound effect on California's water resources as evidenced by changes in snowpack, river flows, and sea levels. Scientific studies show these changes will increase stress on the water system in the future. Because some level of climate change is inevitable, the water system must be adaptable to change.


The impacts of these changes will gradually increase during this century and beyond. California needs to plan for water system modifications that adapt to the following impacts of climate change:

## Water Supply

Changes in river flow impacts water supply, water quality, fisheries, and recreation activities.




A 25% reduction of snowpack will change water supply



## Ecosystem

Forests, important contributors to water supply and quality, will be more vulnerable to pests, disease, changes in species composition, and fire.



Increases in water temperature and reductions in cold water in upstream reservoirs may hurt spawning and recruitment success of native fishes.




Lower streamflows will tend to concentrate urban and agricultural runoff, creating more water quality problems.






# Stressing Our Water System

## Water & Power Operations



Operation of the water system for urban, agricultural, and environmental water supply and for flood management will become increasingly difficult because of the decisions and trade offs that must be made.



California's hydroelectric power generation may be less reliable; at the same time, higher air temperatures may increase energy consumption through increased use of air conditioning.



(will be illustration)

Water supply reliability will be compromised.



(will be illustration)


Warmer temperatures will affect water demands.

## Flooding & Drought



(will be illustration)

Increased flooding potentially causes more damage to the levee system.




Higher temperatures and changes in precipitation will lead to droughts.

## Coast & Delta




(will be illustration)

Higher water temperatures will make the Delta intolerable to some native species and also more attractive to some non-native invaders that may compete with natives.




(will be illustration)

Sea level rise threatens coastal communities and infrastructure, in particular, the water system in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta where the existing Delta levees were not designed to withstand these higher water levels.



Increased salinity in the Delta will degrade drinking and agricultural water quality and alter ecosystem conditions.

# California Water Plan Update 2009:



## *Integrated Water Management*

The California Water Plan updates have been important sources of information for water planners since 1957. But unlike prior Water Plan updates, which were primarily products of the Department of Water Resources, Update 2009 truly can be viewed as the state's Water Plan. It has benefited from the first interagency California Water Plan steering committee representing 21 state government agencies with jurisdictions over different aspects of water resources and integrates their companion planning documents. In addition, a 45-member advisory committee, expanded regional outreach, greater involvement of California Native American Tribes, and coordination with federal agencies provided broad participation in plan preparation.

Update 2009 builds on the framework and resource management strategies outlined by California Water Plan Update 2005 promoting two major initiatives:

- **Integrated regional water management** enables regions to implement strategies appropriate for their own needs and helps them become more self-sufficient.
- **Improved statewide water management systems** provides for upgrades to the large physical facilities, such as the State Water Project, and statewide management programs essential to the California economy.

To minimize the impacts of water management on California's natural environment and make sure that the state continues to have the water supplies it needs, the two initiatives are supported by three foundational actions:

- Use water efficiently to get maximum utility from existing supplies.
- Protect water quality to safeguard public and environmental health and secure the state's water supplies for their intended purposes.
- Expand environmental stewardship as part of water management responsibilities.

# California Vision 2050:

*Update 2009 sets us on a strategic path to managing our water resources in a way that provides for the future*



## Desired future for California water

California has healthy watersheds and integrated, reliable and secure water resources and management systems that

- enhance public health, safety, and quality of life in all its communities;
- sustain economic growth, business vitality, and agricultural productivity; and
- protect and restore California's unique biological diversity, ecological values, and cultural heritage.

## Desired outcomes over the planning horizon 2050

1. California has water supplies that are adequate, reliable, secure, affordable, sustainable, and of suitable quality for beneficial uses to protect, preserve, and enhance watersheds, communities, and environmental and agricultural resources.
2. State government supports integrated water resources planning and management through leadership, oversight, and public funding.
3. Regional and interregional partnerships play a pivotal role in California water resources planning, water management for sustainable water use and resources, and increasing regional self-sufficiency.
4. Water resource and land use planners make informed and collaborative decisions and implement integrated actions to increase water supply reliability, use water more efficiently, protect water quality, improve flood protection, promote environmental stewardship, and ensure environmental justice in light of drivers of change and catastrophic events.
5. California is prepared for climate uncertainty by developing adaptation strategies and investing in a diverse set of actions that reduce the risk and consequences posed by climate change, that make the system more resilient to change, and that increase the sustainability of water and flood management systems and the ecosystems they depend on.
6. Integrated flood management, as a part of integrated water management increases flood protection, improves preparedness and emergency response, enhances floodplain ecosystems, and promotes sustainable flood management systems.
7. The benefits and consequences of water decisions and access to state government resources are equitable across all communities.

Vision & Mission

•

Goals

•

Guiding Principles

•

Objectives & Actions

•

Recommendations

# The Desired Future for Water

*Provides reliable and clean water supplies for all beneficial uses today and for generations.*



## Purpose of the Water Plan

Updating the California Water Plan provides state, federal, Tribal, regional, and local governments and organizations a continuous strategic planning forum to collaboratively:

- Recommend strategic goals, objectives, and near-term and long-term actions that would conserve, manage, develop, and sustain California's watersheds, water resources, and management systems;
- Prepare response plans for floods, droughts, and catastrophic events that would threaten water resources and management systems, the environment, property, and the health, welfare and livelihood of the people of California; and
- Evaluate current and future watershed and water conditions, challenges, and opportunities.



## Core values and philosophies / How to make decisions

1. Use a broad, stakeholder-based, long-view perspective for water management.
2. Promote management for sustainable resources on a watershed basis.
3. Increase regional drought and flood preparedness.
4. Increase regional self-sufficiency.
5. Promote regional coordination and collaboration among local governments and agencies, public and private organizations, and Tribal governments and Tribal communities.
6. Determine values for economic, environmental, and social benefits, costs, and tradeoffs to base investment decisions on sustainability indicators.
7. Incorporate future variability, uncertainties, and risk in the decision-making process.
8. Apply California's water rights laws, including the longstanding constitutional principles of reasonable use and public trust, as the foundation for public policy-making, planning, and management decisions on California water resources.
9. Promote environmental justice - the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes.
10. Use science, best data, and local and indigenous peoples' knowledge in a transparent and documented process.




*Read more about the Water Plan's strategic plan elements in Volume 1 Chapter 2 Imperative to Act*



# Implementation Plan

*Update 2009's implementation plan has 13 objectives that will help us achieve the Water Plan's goals. The plan will help California deal with a changing climate and other uncertainties and risks, and provide for a sustainable future.*



*Numbering of elements in this strategic plan is for ease of reference and does not represent priority*

## Statements of intent / What and when

### 1. Expand Integrated Regional Water Management

Promote, improve, and expand integrated regional water management to create and build on partnerships that are essential for California water resources planning, sustainable watershed and floodplain management, and increasing regional self-sufficiency.

### 2. Use and Reuse Water More Efficiently

Use water more efficiently with significantly greater water conservation, recycling, and reuse to help meet future water demands and adapt to climate change.

### 3. Expand Conjunctive Management of Multiple Supplies

Advance and expand conjunctive management of multiple water supply sources with surface water and groundwater storage to prepare for future droughts, floods, and climate change.

### 4. Protect Surface Water and Groundwater Quality

Protect and restore surface water and groundwater quality to safeguard public and environmental health and secure California's water supplies for their beneficial uses.

### 5. Expand Environmental Stewardship

Practice, promote, improve, and expand environmental stewardship to protect and enhance the environment by improving watershed, floodplain, and instream functions and to sustain water and flood management systems.

### 6. Practice Integrated Flood Management

Promote and practice integrated flood management to provide multiple benefits including better emergency preparedness and response, higher flood protection, more sustainable flood and water management systems, and enhanced floodplain ecosystems.

### 7. Manage a Sustainable California Delta

Promote and practice management for a sustainable California Delta by setting as co-equal goals a healthy Delta ecosystem and a reliable water supply for California and by recognizing the Delta as a unique and valued community and ecosystem.

### 8. Prepare Prevention, Response, and Recovery Plans

Prepare prevention, response, and recovery plans for floods, droughts, and catastrophic events to help residents and communities, particularly disadvantaged communities, make decisions that reduce the consequences and recovery time of these events when they occur.

# Plan: An Urgent Roadmap

*Plan goals. Meeting these objectives, and planning and investing in their 110 related actions, provide more adaptive and resilient ecosystems and more sustainable water and flood systems.*

## **9. Reduce Energy Consumption of Water Systems and Uses**

Reduce the energy consumption of water and wastewater management systems and uses to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. (water-related strategies in AB 32 Scoping Plan)

## **10. Improve Data & Analysis for Decision-making**

Improve and expand monitoring, data management, and analysis to support decision-making in light of uncertainties that support integrated regional water management and flood and water resources management systems.

## **11. Invest in New Water Technology**

Identify and fund applied research on new water technology to help carry out water programs and better manage water systems.

## **12. Improve Tribal Water and Natural Resources**

Develop Tribal consultation, collaboration, and access to funding for water programs and projects to better sustain Tribal water and natural resources.

## **13. Ensure Equitable Distribution of Benefits**

Provide safe drinking water and wastewater treatment to all California communities. Increase the participation of small and disadvantaged communities in state government processes and programs to achieve fair and equitable distribution of benefits, to consider mitigation of impacts from the implementation of state government programs and policies, and to ensure that these programs and policies address the most critical public health threats in disadvantaged communities.



*Find details of the Water Plan's objectives and related actions in Volume 1 Chapter 7 Implementation Plan*

# Building on a Framework

Update 2009 uses the same framework presented in Update 2005, but enhances the planning approach in several areas:

- Provides further acknowledgement that the Water Plan is a living document that needs to continue to evolve in future updates
- Includes improved data, analytical tools, and information management and exchange
- Includes climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies
- Integrates information and recommendations from many state agency planning documents, particularly those represented on the Water Plan Steering Committee
- Includes integrated flood management
- Updates resource management strategies and regional reports
- Updates regional water balances to include eight years
- Incorporates consideration of uncertainty, risks, and resource sustainability into planning for the future to:
  - Reduce uncertainties
  - Recognize risks to success
  - Manage for more sustainable water supply, flood management, and ecosystem. Incorporating the concept of resource sustainability is an ongoing process or approach that will continue to be developed in future Water Plan updates.



*Update 2009 integrated information and recommendations from key planning documents of many state agencies. See Volume 1, Chapter 3 Companion State Plans*

## Sustainability

A system that is sustainable meets today's needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. A sustainable system generally provides for the economy, the ecosystem, and equity.

Over the past few decades, questions have been raised about how sustainable our ecosystems and water, land, and other resources are, given current management practices and expected future changes. California's water resources are finite and now require managing for sustainability—management that may be different than what has been practiced during the first 150 years of the state's history.

To achieve sustainability, resource managers and planners must transition from the past model that places value primarily on water supply yield to a model that values the sustainability of the system.



*Find more about the roadmap to safe and clean water through 2050 in Volume 1 Chapter 2 Imperative to Act and Chapter 7 Implementation Plan.*

# Water Scenarios 2050:

**W**hat will California look like in 2050? Will the population growth keep pace with recent trends? Will the pattern of climate change continue? Will the protection of water quality and endangered species be driven mostly by lawsuits, creating a patchwork of regulations? We have no way of predicting the future, but we can construct some plausible scenarios. Future scenarios can be used to help us better understand the implications of future conditions on water management. Update 2009 made significant improvements to the scenarios by considering the potential effect of long-term climate change on future water demands. (See more on climate change in Highlights pages 8 through 11.)

The California Water Plan acknowledges that planning for the future is uncertain and that change will continue to occur. It is not possible to know for certain how population, water demand patterns, environmental conditions, the climate, and many other factors that affect water use and supply may change by 2050. To anticipate change, our approach to water management and planning for the future needs to incorporate consideration of uncertainty, risk, and sustainability.

Update 2009 has three future scenarios for year 2050 to which the water community would need to respond regionally by implementing a mix of resource management strategies (See more about resource management strategies on Highlights pages 18 and 19 and examples of strategies by regions on Highlights pages 20 and 21.) The title of each scenario—Current Trends, Strategic Growth, and Expansive Growth—tells us something about how different factors, like population or irrigated farmland, are assumed to change over time. These are factors over which the water community has little control; yet many scenario factors affect future water demand. Water Plan Update 2009 quantifies several factors that together provide a description of future water demand for the urban, agricultural, and environmental sectors.

## Factors of Uncertainty

Population

Land Use

Irrigated Crop Area


Environmental Water

Background Water Conservation

## Water Demand Changes and Climate Change Variability

*The graph under each scenario represents future **water demand change** (the difference between average historical water demand for 1998-2005 and projected future demand in the year 2050.) This change could be either an increase (above baseline) or a decrease (below baseline) in water use.*

*Climate change adds another dimension of variability to demand changes. In figure at right, historical period shows actual demand (blue line). Each colored line represents 1 of 12 climate scenarios. This variability is represented on the water demand change graph by the hatched area.*





# A Range of Considerations

## Current Trends

Recent trends are assumed to continue into the future. The state faces lawsuits on a regular basis, from flood damages to water quality and endangered species protections. Regulations are not coordinated or comprehensive, creating uncertainty for planners and water managers.



60 million



Continued development



8.5 million acres



1.0 additional MAF



10% increase

## Strategic Growth

Private, public, and governmental institutions form alliances to provide for efficient planning and development that is less resources intensive than current conditions. State government implements comprehensive and coordinated regulatory programs to improve water quality, protect fish and wildlife, and protect communities from flooding.



45 million



Compact development



9 million acres



1.5 additional MAF



15% increase

## Expansive Growth

Future conditions are more resource intensive than existing conditions. Protection of water quality and endangered species is driven mostly by lawsuits. State government has responded on a case-by-case basis, creating a patchwork of regulations and uncertainty for planners and water managers.



70 million



Sprawling development



8.2 million acres






0.6 additional MAF




5% increase

*The charts at the bottom of this page show net change in statewide water demand between 2005 and 2050 for each scenario. (See pages 16 and 17 for potential water demand changes for each hydrologic region.)*

## 2050 Water Demand Changes by Sector




## Combined Water Demand Change by Scenario



# Water Scenarios 2050:

## Future Regional Water Demand Changes by Scenario



# A Range of Considerations

*The* three baseline scenarios for 2050 (described on pages 14 & 15) would play out differently in various hydrologic regions. This regional variability is illustrated here, showing the combined urban, agricultural, and environmental water demand changes for each scenario in each region.

The way scenario water demands change in each region reflects a number of things—the relative amount of water demand in the region for cities, farms, and environment; how the scenario factors (population, irrigated crop acreage, and water dedicated to the environment) increase or decrease in each area of the state; and how temperature and precipitation changed in the 12 climate change scenarios that were examined.

Hydrologic regions expecting higher population growth under the Current Trends and Expansive Growth scenarios, like the South Coast and the Sacramento River, show higher changes in water demands. Population growth also tends to drive urbanization of agricultural lands, reducing irrigated crop acreage.



Precipitation and temperature heavily influence water demand for outdoor landscaping and irrigated agriculture. Less precipitation falling during the growing season increases the need to apply more irrigation water. Warmer temperatures increase crop evapotranspiration, which increases water demand.

Water demand stays the same or decreases in the San Joaquin River and Tulare Lake regions when climate change was not considered because of less irrigated crop area from urbanization and more background water conservation. Water demand changes in Central Valley agricultural areas were most sensitive to the warmer and drier climate change scenarios. This is particularly evident in the Sacramento River Region where the variation in potential change in water demand is quite large across the 12 climate change scenarios.

Each future scenario describes a different baseline for 2050, to which the water community would need to respond by implementing a mix of the resource management strategies shown on pages 18 and 19. No single management strategy is sufficient to meet future regional demands with so much variation possible from region to region and sector to sector. California needs to ensure that each region can tailor responses to local conditions. We can achieve this most effectively by implementing integrated regional water management supported by strong statewide water management systems.





*Read how future scenarios can help us deal with uncertainty and risk and improve resource sustainability in Volume 1 Chapter 5 Managing an Uncertain Future and Chapter 6 Integrated Data and Analysis. Find regional water demand information in Volume 3 Regional Reports.*

# Resource Management Strategies:

**I**ntegrated water management undertakes water and flood management at all fronts and on many levels—regionally and statewide, for multiple uses and benefits, for sustaining watersheds, water uses, and water and flood management systems, while weighing the risks of uncertain futures.



















The 27 resource management strategies presented here provide a range of choices and are the building blocks for this approach.

The strategies are grouped by their intended outcome, and the potential benefits and implementation cost are presented for each strategy.

Potential Strategy Benefits									
Provide Water Supply Benefit  MAF/year - Applied Water	Improve Drought Preparedness 	Improve Water Quality 	Operational Flex & Efficient 	Reduce Flood Impacts 	Environmental Benefits 	Energy Benefits 	Recreational Opportunities 	Reduce GW Overdraft 	Accumulated Cost by 2030 (\$ Billions)



































## Reduce Water Demand

Water conservation has become a viable long-term supply option because it saves considerable capital and operating costs for utilities and consumers, avoids environmental degradation, and creates multiple benefits.

Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Agricultural Water Use Efficiency	 0.1-0.8 <sup>2</sup>									0.3 - 4.0
Urban Water Use Efficiency	 1.2 - 3.1									2.5 - 6.0











































## Improve Operational Efficiency & Transfers

California's water system responds to our need to move water from where it occurs to where it will be used.

Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Conveyance—Delta	 0.1 - 0.6									1.2 - 17.2
Conveyance—Regional/Local										
System Reoperation										
Water Transfers										

## Increase Water Supply

California's communities are finding innovative ways to generate new supplies.

Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Conjunctive Management & Groundwater Storage	 0.5 - 2.0									
Desalination – Brackish & Seawater	 0.3 - 0.4									0.9 - 2.9
Precipitation Enhancement	 0.3 - 0.6									0.2
Recycled Municipal Water	 0.9 - 1.4									6.0 - 9.0
Surface Storage—CALFED <sup>3</sup>	 0.1 - 1.1									0.2 - 7.8
Surface Storage—Regional/Local (under development)										

1. Additional information is found in Volume 2.

2. Value is Net Water to account for water reuse among agricultural water users.

3. Reflects cost allocation of annual water benefit which is not the total cost of the project.


































# A Range of Choices

As California changes, local agencies and governments continue to use different methods for managing water resources. Growing population, changing regulations, and evolving public attitudes and values are a few conditions that are influencing water decisions. No single response package will work for all areas of California. Facing an uncertain future, regions need to invest in an appropriate mix of strategies based on integrated regional water management plans that are diversified, satisfy regional and state needs, meet multiple resource objectives, include public input, address environmental justice, mitigate impacts, protect public trust assets, and are affordable.



















































## Improve Water Quality

Improved water quality can directly improve the health of Californians and our ecosystem.








Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Drinking Water Treatment and Distribution										1.4 /year
Groundwater/Aquifer Remediation										20.0
Matching Quality to Use										0.1
Pollution Prevention										21.0
Salt and Salinity Management										>10.0
Urban Runoff Management										

## Practice Resource Stewardship

We must protect other resources as we make water supplies available for other beneficial uses.

Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Agricultural Lands Stewardship										5.3
Economic Incentives (Loans, Grants, Water Pricing)										
Ecosystem Restoration										
Forest Management <sup>4</sup>	 0.1 - 0.4									0.3 - 0.8
Land Use Planning and Management										
Recharge Area Protection										
Water-dependent Recreation										
Watershed Management										0.5 - 3.6

## Improve Flood Management

Strategy	MAF/year <sup>1</sup>	Potential Strategy Benefits								Accumulated Cost by 2030 \$ Billions <sup>1</sup>
Flood Risk Management										

4. Numbers are for Meadow Restoration only.



Find descriptions of 27 resource management strategies in Volume 2

# Regional Strategies:

**R**egional partnerships in many parts of the state are successfully employing a mix of resource management strategies. Experience is showing that these regional efforts can better resolve regional needs, especially when paired with statewide water management systems.

With integrated regional water management, regions have been able to take advantage of opportunities that are not always available to individual water suppliers: reduce dependence on imported water and make better use of local supplies; enhance use of groundwater with greater ability to limit groundwater overdraft; increase supply reliability and security; and improve water quality. More is being done to meet water demands with water conservation, reoperation of facilities, water recycling, groundwater storage and management, transfer programs, and, in limited cases, regional or local surface storage reservoirs. Overall, this increased focus on integrated regional water management solves water management problems more efficiently, considers other resource issues, and enjoys broader public support.

Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) provides a critical framework for actions to address the uncertainties presented by climate change as well as other risks to California's water future. The extent to which regions have carried these out has been driven by considerations like economics, environment, engineering, and institutional feasibility. For more information on the IRWM Program, go to Web site: <http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/>



*See more about regional strategies in Volume 3 regional reports.*



## North Coast

- Araujo Dam Restoration Project
- Newell Water System Upper Mattole River Culvert Replacement
- Westport Water Tank



## San Francisco Bay

- Mocho Groundwater Demineralization Plant
- Water Saving Hero Campaign



## South Coast

### Los Angeles

- Calleguas Regional Salinity Management Project
- Arundo Removal
- Las Virgenes Creek Restoration
- Joint Water Pollution Control Plant Marshland Enhancement (Bixby Marshland)



### Santa Ana

- Arlington Desalter
- Orange County Groundwater Replenishment System
- Solar Array at RP-5 Wastewater Treatment Plant



### San Diego

- Tri-County Funding Area Coordinating Committee
- El Monte Valley Groundwater Recharge and River Restoration Project
- Carlsbad Desalination Project Local Conveyance
- Rancho California Water District Water Reclamation Project
- Santa Margarita Conjunctive Use Project

# Multiple Responses and Benefits





# Conclusion

**W**ith new urgency, this Water Plan follows the Update 2005 roadmap to sustainable water uses and reliable water supplies—to use water efficiently, improve water quality, and expand environmental stewardship. Update 2009 marks a new chapter in the way California must manage her water resources. It is the state’s blueprint for integrated water management and sustainability—statewide and regional.

We must adapt and evolve California’s water systems more quickly and effectively to keep pace with ever changing conditions now and in the future. Population is growing while available water supplies are static and even decreasing. Climate change, as evidenced by changes in snowpack, river flows, and sea levels, is profoundly impacting our water resources. The Delta and other watersheds and ecosystems continue to decline. The state’s current water and flood management systems are increasingly challenged by legal remedies and regulatory protections, with economic and societal consequences. The entire system—water and flood management, watersheds, and ecosystems—has lost its resilience and is changing in undesirable ways.

So where do we start? — From all directions! — It is imperative that decisions about California water account for and reduce uncertainty and risk, and that investments make our water management systems, flood protection systems, and ecosystems more sustainable. New to this Water Plan is an integration of water resource and flood management. This approach will be challenging, but it can yield significant public safety benefits, protect water supplies, and improve the environment. A prime and urgent example is developing and then implementing a durable Delta Plan for its sustainable management.

Update 2009 may truly be called California’s Water Plan because it embodies countless deliberations between and among the brightest minds in government and private agencies, Tribes, cities, farms, industry, and environmental organizations. As a result, Update 2009:

- Provides an investment guide for state, federal, Tribal, and regional strategies to reduce water demand, improve operation efficiency, increase water supply, improve water quality, advance environmental stewardship, and improve flood management;
- Integrates objectives and strategies from numerous state agencies and initiatives and offers more than 90 near- and longer-term actions to achieve them;
- Describes 27 resource management strategies that each region can select from to develop a unique and diverse water portfolio suitable for managing an uncertain future; and
- Outlines new analytical methods and tools to help plan for future effects of climate change, population growth and development patterns, economic change, and other factors outside the water community’s control.

We must invest—significantly and uninterrupted—in California’s aging and increasingly inadequate water and flood systems. Californians have recognized the need to invest in our water and flood systems, but funds from bond-to-bond are neither sufficient nor sustainable. California needs more stable and continuous sources of revenue to invest in statewide and regional integrated water management and to build resilience back into the state’s water and flood management systems, as well as into the watersheds, groundwater basins, and ecosystems that support them.



# Recommendations

California Water Plan Update 2009 identifies the most pressing water management issues and challenges faced by the state and regions, and available opportunities and assets. Through the Water Plan process, we have developed recommendations in the form of policies, strategies, and approaches that will help reduce and remove impediments, and leverage resources and opportunities to help implement the Water Plan actions and achieve its goals and objectives through 2050.

These recommendations are summarized here and described in Volume 1 Chapter 2 Imperative to Act. They are directed at decision-makers and water users throughout California (referred to as **California**) and at the executive and legislative branches of state government, the Department of Water Resources and other state agencies (referred to as **state government**).

1. California should implement the Water Plan's actions as the key to achieving its goals and objectives.
2. California needs a water finance plan with stable and continuous funding from an array of revenue sources for integrated water management on a statewide and regional basis. The finance plan should recognize the critical role of public-private partnerships and the principle of beneficiary pays; include alternative revenue sources; and guide investment decisions based on sustainability indicators.
3. California should manage its water resources with ecosystem health and water supply reliability and quality as equal goals, with full consideration of public trust uses whenever feasible.
4. State government should effectively lead, assist, and oversee California's water resources and flood planning and management activities that regions cannot accomplish on their own.
5. State and federal government should lead and support planning, monitoring, and scientific research to help California adapt and mitigate for climate change impacts.
6. California should improve the coordination of land use policies and practices; economic development decisions; and water, flood, and natural resource planning and management.
7. California should renovate and improve its aging water, wastewater, and flood infrastructure.
8. California should articulate and update as needed the roles, authorities, rights, and responsibilities of federal, Tribal, State, and local governments and agencies responsible for water resource and flood planning and management.
9. California should increase public understanding and awareness of where our water comes from as well as the value and importance of water, water quality, and water conservation to people, ecosystems, and California's economy.

The recommendations are as varied as the constraints they are intended to change—institutional, legal, knowledge, information, skills/capacity, resources, funding, schedule, and public awareness.

California needs to act on these recommendations to improve drought contingency planning, flood management improvements, and climate change adaptations. We need to invest the water and flood bond funds that the public has approved to implement these recommendations and realize this Water Plan.



*Read more about recommendations in Volume 1 Chapter 2 Imperative to Act*




# Navigating Through Water Plan Volumes

California Water Plan Update 2009 presents the latest statewide strategic plan for water management – a roadmap to year 2050. Use this reader’s guide to navigate the many volumes that describe California’s diverse water conditions and statewide and regional integrated water management.

## The Roadmap

*Where are we and how should California proceed?*



## Volume 1 The Strategic Plan

### California Resources

Variable and Extreme  
Critical Challenges

- Climate change, population growth, dry years, floods, vulnerable ecosystems and Delta, water quality, aging infrastructure (levees), catastrophic events, data gathering, funding, disadvantaged communities

### Managing our Resources

Sustainability

- Water use efficiency, water quality, stewardship

Reliability

- IRWM, water/flood systems

Reduction of Risk and Uncertainty


### Companion State Plans

### Integrated Data and Analysis

### Statewide Objectives and Actions

## Options/decision-making

*What can we do?*




## Volume 2 Resource Management Strategies

### A Range of Choices

27+ management strategies to

- Reduce water demand
- Increase Water Supply
- Improve Water Quality
- Practice Resource Stewardship
- Improve Flood Management

*How does it look and work at the regional level?*



## Volume 3 Regional Reports

10 regions and 2 areas of interest

- Setting
- Water Conditions
- Relations with Other Regions
- Water and Flood Management
- Water Portfolios
- Looking to the Future
- Scenario Results

## Digging deeper

*Want more on what we know and what we want to know?*



## Volume 4 Reference Guide

### An encyclopedic look

- Background on California Water Resources
- Water Resources Analysis
- Emerging Issues

*What’s the metadata on the data?*



## Volume 5 Technical Guide

### Documentation

- Assumptions
- Data
- Analytical Tools and Methods



**Arnold Schwarzenegger**  
*Governor*

**Mike Chrisman**  
*Secretary for Resources*  
*The Natural Resources Agency*

**Lester A. Snow**  
*Director*  
*Department of Water Resources*